arXiv:1207.0244v1 [math.AC] 1 Jul 2012

COMPLETE INTERSECTION VANISHING IDEALS ON DEGENERATE TORI OVER FINITE FIELDS

HIRAM H. LÓPEZ, RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL, AND LETICIA ZÁRATE

Abstract. We study the complete intersection property and the algebraic invariants (index of regularity, degree) of vanishing ideals on degenerate tori over finite fields. We establish a correspondence between vanishing ideals and toric ideals associated to numerical semigroups. This correspondence is shown to preserve the complete intersection property, and allows us to use some available algorithms to determine whether a given vanishing ideal is a complete intersection. We give a formula for the degree of a complete intersection and a formula for the index of regularity of a complete intersection in terms of the Frobenius number and the generators of a certain numerical semigroup. A method is given to compute the vanishing ideal using a saturation process.

1. Introduction

Let $K=\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and let $v_1,\ldots,v_n$ be a sequence of positive integers. Consider the *degenerate projective torus*

$$X:=\{[(x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_n^{v_n})]\,|\,x_i\in K^* \text{ for all } i\}\subset\mathbb{P}^{n-1},$$

parameterized by the monomials $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_n^{v_n}$, where $K^*=\mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a projective space over the field K. This set is a multiplicative group under componentwise multiplication. If $v_i=1$ for all i, X is just a *projective torus*.

Let $S=K[t_1,\ldots,t_n]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty}S_d$ be a polynomial ring over the field K with the standard grading. Recall that the *vanishing ideal* of X, denoted by $I(X)$, is the ideal of S generated by the homogeneous polynomials that vanish on X. To study $I(X)$, we will associate with this a semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ and a toric ideal P that depend on $v_1,\ldots,v_n$ and the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

In what follows β denotes a generator of the cyclic group $(K^*,\,\cdot)$, d_i denotes $o(\beta^{v_i})$, the order of β^{v_i} for $i=1,\ldots,n$, and $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the semigroup $\mathbb{N}d_1+\cdots+\mathbb{N}d_n$. If $d_1,\ldots,d_n$ are relatively prime, $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *numerical semigroup*. As is seen in Section 3, the algebra of $I(X)$ is closely related to the algebra of the *toric ideal* of the semigroup ring

$$K[\mathcal{S}]=K[y_1^{d_1},\ldots,y_1^{d_n}]\subset K[y_1],$$

where $K[y_1]$ is a polynomial ring. Recall that the *toric ideal* of $K[\mathcal{S}]$, denoted by P, is the kernel of the following epimorphism of K-algebras

$$\varphi\colon S=K[t_1,\ldots,t_n]\longrightarrow K[\mathcal{S}],\qquad f\overset{\varphi}{\longmapsto} f(y_1^{d_1},\ldots,y_1^{d_n}).$$

Thus, $S/P\simeq K[\mathcal{S}]$. Since $K[y_1]$ is integral over $K[\mathcal{S}]$ we have $\mathrm{ht}(P)=n-1$. The ideal P is graded if one gives degree d_i to variable t_i. For $n=3$, the first non-trivial case, this type

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F20; Secondary 13P25, 14H45, 11T71, 94B25.

Key words and phrases. Complete intersections, vanishing ideals, toric ideals, monomial curves, evaluation codes, degree, regularity.

The second and third author were partially supported by SNI.

of toric ideals were studied by Herzog [19]. For $n \geq 4$, these toric ideals have been studied by many authors [1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 19, 25, 33].

In this paper, we relate some of the algebraic invariants and properties of $I(X)$ with those of P and $\mathcal{S}$. We are especially interested in the degree and the regularity index, and in the complete intersection property.

The most well known properties that P and $I(X)$ have in common is that both are Cohen-Macaulay graded lattice ideals of dimension 1 [19, 28].

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some of the notions and results that will be needed throughout the paper. In particular, we recall some of the algebraic properties of $I(X)$ (Theorem 2.10) and a recent classification of the complete intersection property of $I(X)$ in algebraic and geometric terms (Proposition 2.14). All the results of this section are well known.

A key fact that allows us to link the properties of P and $I(X)$ is that the homogeneous lattices of these ideals are closely related (Proposition 3.2). If $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ is a set of generators for P consisting of binomials, then $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ is a set of generators for $I(X)$, where h_k is the binomial obtained from g_k after substituting t_i by $t_i^{d_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (Proposition 3.3). As a consequence if $n = 3$, then $I(X)$ is minimally generated by 2 or 3 binomials (Corollary 3.4). One of our main results shows that $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if P is a complete intersection (Theorem 3.6). If $I(X)$ is a complete intersection, we show that a minimal generating set for $I(X)$ consisting of binomials corresponds to a minimal generating set for P consisting of binomials and viceversa (Corollary 3.7).

Let X' be the degenerate torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ parameterized by $x_1^{v_1'}, \ldots, x_n^{v_n'}$, where $v_i' = rv_i$ and r is the greatest common divisor of $d_1, \ldots, d_n$. The ideals $I(X)$ and $I(X')$ are not equal in general, we will relate some of their properties and their algebraic invariants (Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.14(i)). If $\mathcal{S}$ is a numerical semigroup, its *Frobenius number* is the largest integer not in $\mathcal{S}$. For complete intersections, the introduction of X' leads to an explicit formula for the degree of $S/I(X)$ and to a formula that relates the index of regularity of $S/I(X)$ with the Frobenius number of the numerical semigroup $\mathcal{S}'$ generated by $o(\beta^{rv_1}), \ldots, o(\beta^{rv_n})$ (Corollary 3.14(ii)). The Frobenius number occurs in many branches of mathematics and is one of the most studied invariants in the theory of semigroups. A great deal of effort has been directed at the effective computation of this number, see the monograph of Ramírez-Alfonsín [27].

The complete intersection property of P has been nicely characterized, using the notion of a binary tree [1, 3] and the notion of *suites distinguées* [4]. For $n = 3$, there is a classical result of [19] showing an algorithm to construct a generating set for P. Thus, using our results, one can obtain various classifications of the complete intersection property of $I(X)$. Furthermore, in [1] an effective algorithm is given to determine whether P is a complete intersection. This algorithm has been implemented in the distributed library cimonom.lib [2] of *Singular* [16]. Thus, using our results, one can use this algorithm to determine whether $I(X)$ is a complete intersection (see Example 3.16). In the positive case this algorithm returns the generators of P and its Frobenius number. As a byproduct, we can construct interesting examples of complete intersection vanishing ideals (see Example 3.18).

In Section 4, we show how to compute the vanishing ideal $I(X)$ using the notion of saturation of an ideal with respect to a polynomial (Proposition 4.3). As a consequence, by a recent result of [23], we show how to express the degree of $S/I(X)$ using linear algebra (Corollary 4.4).

It is worth mentioning that our results could be applied to coding theory. The algebraic invariants of $S/I(X)$ occur in algebraic coding theory as we now briefly explain. An *evaluation* *code* over X is a linear code obtained by evaluating the linear space of homogeneous d-forms of S on the set of points $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. A linear code obtained in this way, denoted by $C_X(d)$, has *length* $|X|$ and *dimension* $\dim_K(S/I(X))_d$. The computation of the index of regularity of $S/I(X)$ is important for applications to coding theory: for $d \geq \operatorname{reg} S/I(X)$ the code $C_X(d)$ coincides with the underlying vector space $K^{|X|}$ and has, accordingly, minimum distance equal to 1. Thus, potentially good codes $C_X(d)$ can occur only if $1 \leq d < \operatorname{reg}(S/I(X))$. The length, dimension and minimum distance of evaluation codes $C_X(d)$ arising from complete intersections have been studied in [5, 13, 17, 21, 29, 30].

For all unexplained terminology and additional information, we refer to [7, 24] (for the theory of binomial and lattice ideals), [6, 18, 31] (for commutative algebra and the theory of Hilbert functions), and [34] (for the theory of linear codes).

2. Preliminaries

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in Section 1. In this section, we introduce the notions of degree and regularity via Hilbert functions and the notion of a lattice ideal. We present some of the results that will be needed throughout the paper. In particular, we recall some of the algebraic properties of $I(X)$ and a recent classification of the complete intersection property. All the results of this section are well known.

Definition 2.1. The *Hilbert function* of $S/I(X)$ is given by

$$H_X(d) := \dim_K(S_d/I(X) \cap S_d),$$

and the *Krull-dimension* of $S/I(X)$ is denoted by $\dim(S/I(X))$.

The unique polynomial $h_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $k-1 = \dim(S/I(X)) - 1$ such that $h_X(d) = H_X(d)$ for $d \gg 0$ is called the *Hilbert polynomial* of $S/I(X)$, see [31]. The integer $c_{k-1}(k-1)!$, denoted by $\deg(S/I(X))$, is called the *degree* of $S/I(X)$. The ring $S/I(X)$ has Krull-dimension 1 [28, Theorem 2.1(c), p. 85], thus its Hilbert polynomial $h_X(t) = c_0$ is a non-zero constant and its degree is equal to c_0. According to [18, Lecture 13], we have that $h_X(d) = |X|$ for $d \geq |X| - 1$. Hence

$$|X| = h_X(d) = c_0 = \deg(S/I(X))$$

for $d \geq |X| - 1$. Thus, $|X|$ is the degree of $S/I(X)$.

Definition 2.2. The *index of regularity* of $S/I(X)$, denoted by $\operatorname{reg}(S/I(X))$, is the least integer $\ell \geq 0$ such that $h_X(d) = H_X(d)$ for $d \geq \ell$.

The degree and the regularity index can be read off the Hilbert series as we now explain. The Hilbert series of $S/I(X)$ can be written as

$$F_X(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_X(i) t^i = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + \cdots + h_r t^r}{1-t},$$

where $h_0, \ldots, h_r$ are positive integers. This follows from the fact that $I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal of height $n-1$ [28]. The number r is the regularity index of $S/I(X)$ and $h_0 + \cdots + h_r$ is the degree of $S/I(X)$ (see [35, Corollary 4.1.12]).

Definition 2.3. The set $\mathbb{T} := \{[(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} | \, x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i\}$ is called a *projective torus* in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and the set

$$X^* := \{(x_1^{v_1}, \ldots, x_n^{v_n}) | \, x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i\} \subset K^n$$

is called an *affine degenerate torus* parameterized by $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_n^{v_n}$.

Proposition 2.4. [14, Theorem 1, Lemma 1] *If* $\mathbb{T}$ *is a projective torus in* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, *then*

(a) $I(\mathbb{T})=(t_1^{q-1}-t_n^{q-1},\ldots,t_{n-1}^{q-1}-t_n^{q-1})$.
(b) $F_{\mathbb{T}}(t)=(1-t^{q-1})^{n-1}/(1-t)^n$.
(c) $\operatorname{reg}(S/I(\mathbb{T}))=(n-1)(q-2)$ *and* $\deg(S/I(\mathbb{T}))=(q-1)^{n-1}$.

In what follows β denotes a generator of the cyclic group $(K^*,\,\cdot\,)$ and d_i denotes $o(\beta^{v_i})$, the order of β^{v_i} for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Lemma 2.5. (a) $|X^*|=d_1\cdots d_n$.

(b) $|X^*|/|\langle\beta^{v_1}\rangle\cap\cdots\cap\langle\beta^{v_n}\rangle|=|X|$ *and* $|X^*|=|X|$ *if* $\gcd(d_1,\ldots,d_n)=1$.

(c) X *is a projective torus if and only if*

$$|\cap_{i=1}^n\langle\beta^{v_i}\rangle|=(q-1)/\prod_{i=1}^n\gcd(v_i,q-1).$$

(d) *If* X *is a projective torus, then* $q-1=\operatorname{lcm}(d_i,d_j)$ *and* $\gcd(v_i,v_j,q-1)=1$ *for* $i\neq j$.

Proof. (a): Let $S_i=\langle\beta^{v_i}\rangle$ be the cyclic group generated by β^{v_i}. The set X^* is equal to the cartesian product $S_1\times\cdots\times S_n$. Hence, it suffices to recall that $|S_i|$ is $o(\beta^{v_i})$, the order of β^{v_i}.

(b): Notice that any element of X^* can be written as $((\beta^{i_1})^{v_1},\ldots,(\beta^{i_n})^{v_n})$ for some integers $i_1,\ldots,i_n$. It is seen that the kernel of the epimorphism of groups $X^*\mapsto X$, $x\mapsto[x]$, is equal to

$$\{(\gamma,\ldots,\gamma)\in(K^*)^n\colon\gamma\in\langle\beta^{v_1}\rangle\cap\cdots\cap\langle\beta^{v_n}\rangle\}.$$

Hence, $|X^*|/|\cap_{i=1}^n\langle\beta^{v_i}\rangle|=|X|$. If $\gcd(d_1,\ldots,d_n)=1$, then $\cap_{i=1}^n\langle\beta^{v_i}\rangle=\{1\}$. Thus, $|X|=|X^*|$.

(c): X is a projective torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ if and only if $|X|=(q-1)^{n-1}$. Since $d_i=o(\beta^{v_i})$ and the order of β^{v_i} is $(q-1)/\gcd(v_i,q-1)$, this part follows from (a) and (b).

(d): Assume that X is a projective torus. Then, by Proposition 2.4, $I(X)$ has a minimal generating set consisting of binomials of degree $q-1$. If $c_{ij}=\operatorname{lcm}(d_i,d_j)$, then $q-1$ is a multiple of c_{ij} and $t_i^{c_{ij}}-t_j^{c_{ij}}$ is in $I(X)$. Therefore, $c_{ij}=q-1$. We set δ_{ij} equal to $\gcd(v_i,v_j,q-1)$. We can write $v_i=b_i\delta_{ij}$, $v_j=b_j\delta_{ij}$ and $q-1=c'_{ij}\delta_{ij}$, for some integers b_i, b_j, c'_{ij} such that $\gcd(b_i,b_j,c'_{ij})=1$. It is seen that $c_{ij}=c'_{ij}$. Thus $\delta_{ij}=1$, as required. □

Recall that a binomial in S is a polynomial of the form t^a-t^b, where $a,b\in\mathbb{N}^n$ and where, if $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$, we set

$$t^a=t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_n^{a_n}\in S.$$

A binomial of the form t^a-t^b is usually referred to as a *pure binomial* [7], although here we are dropping the adjective "pure". A *binomial ideal* is an ideal generated by binomials.

Given $c=(c_i)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, the set $\operatorname{supp}(c)=\{i\,|\,c_i\neq0\}$ is called the *support* of c. The vector c can be uniquely written as $c=c^+-c^-$, where c^+ and c^- are two nonnegative vectors with disjoint support, the *positive* and the *negative* part of c respectively. If t^a is a monomial, with $a=(a_i)\in\mathbb{N}^n$, the set $\operatorname{supp}(t^a)=\{t_i|\,a_i>0\}$ is called the *support* of t^a.

Definition 2.6. A subgroup $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is called a *lattice*. A *lattice ideal* is an ideal of the form

$$I(\mathcal{L})=(\{t^{\alpha^+}-t^{\alpha^-}\,|\,\alpha\in\mathcal{L}\})\subset S$$

for some lattice $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

The class of lattice ideals has been studied in many places, see for instance [7, 24] and the references there. This concept is a natural generalization of a toric ideal.

The following is a well known description of lattice ideals that follows from [7, Corollary 2.5].

Theorem 2.7. [7] *If L is a binomial ideal of S, then L is a lattice ideal if and only if t_i is a non-zero divisor of S/L for all i.*

Given a binomial $g = t^a - t^b$, we set $\widehat{g} = a - b$. If B is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $\langle B\rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by B.

Lemma 2.8. [22] *Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a lattice and let $I(\mathcal{L})$ be its lattice ideal. If $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ is a set of binomials that generate $I(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{L} = \langle \widehat{g}_1, \ldots, \widehat{g}_m\rangle$. In particular if L is a lattice ideal, there is a unique lattice $\mathcal{L}$ such that $L = I(\mathcal{L})$.*

Definition 2.9. A lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is called *homogeneous* if there is an integral vector ω with positive entries such that $\langle \omega, a\rangle = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{L}$.

For convenience we summarize the main algebraic properties of $I(X)$ recalled so far.

Theorem 2.10. *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then*

(a) [12] *$I(X)$ is a radical 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ideal.*
(b) [28] *There is a unique homogeneous lattice $\mathcal{L}$ such that $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$.*
(c) [18, Lecture 13] *$H_X(d) = |X|$ for $d \geq |X| - 1$.*

The lattices that define the lattice ideals $I(X)$ and P are homogeneous with respect to the vectors $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$, respectively. Accordingly, the ideals $I(X)$ and P are graded with respect to the standard grading of S and the grading of S induced by setting $\deg(t_i) = d_i$ for all i, respectively.

Definition 2.11. An ideal $I \subset S$ is called a *complete intersection* if there exists $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ such that $I = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)$, where m is the height of I.

Recall that a graded binomial ideal $I \subset S$ is a complete intersection if and only if I is generated by a homogeneous regular sequence, consisting of binomials, with $\mathrm{ht}(I)$ elements (see [35, Proposition 1.3.17, Lemma 1.3.18]).

Lemma 2.12. [35, p. 104] *Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ be a polynomial ring with the grading induced by $\deg(t_i) = \omega_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ for all i. If I is an ideal of S generated by a homogeneous regular sequence $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ and $\delta_i = \deg(f_i)$ for all i, then the Hilbert series of S/I is given by*

$$F_I(t) = \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - t^{\delta_i}\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - t^{\omega_i}\right).$$

Corollary 2.13. *Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ be a polynomial ring with the standard grading. If I is a graded ideal of S generated by a homogeneous regular sequence $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$, then*

$$\mathrm{reg}(S/I) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\deg(f_i) - 1) \quad \textit{and} \quad \deg(S/I) = \deg(f_1) \cdots \deg(f_{n-1}).$$

The *affine space* of dimension n over K, denoted by $\mathbb{A}_K^n$, is the cartesian product K^n of n-copies of K. Given a subset $I \subset S$ its *zero set* or *variety*, denoted by $V(I)$, is the set of all $a \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$ such that $f(a) = 0$ for all $f \in I$.

The following recent result gives a classification of the complete intersection property of $I(X)$ in algebraic and geometric terms.

Proposition 2.14. [22] *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if there are homogeneous binomials $h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ in $I(X)$ such that the following conditions hold*:

(i) $\mathcal{L} = \langle \widehat{h}_1, \ldots, \widehat{h}_{n-1} \rangle$, *where $\mathcal{L}$ is the lattice that defines $I(X)$.*
(ii) $V(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}, t_i) = \{0\}$ *for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*
(iii) $h_i = t^{a_i^+} - t^{a_i^-}$ *for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.*

Remark 2.15. [22] The result remains valid if we remove condition (iii), i.e., condition (iii) is redundant. In both implications of the proposition the set $h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ generates $I(X)$.

3. Complete intersections and algebraic invariants

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in Section 1. In this section, we study vanishing ideals over degenerate projective tori. We study the complete intersection property and the algebraic invariants of vanishing ideals. We will establish a correspondence between vanishing ideals and toric ideals associated to numerical semigroups.

Let D be the non-singular matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$. Consider the homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}, &\qquad e_i \mapsto d_i, \\ D\colon \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n, &\qquad e_i \mapsto d_i e_i. \end{aligned}$$

If $c = (c_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set $|c| = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$. Notice that $|D(c)| = \psi(c)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. There are two lattices that will play a role here:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \ker(\psi) \ \text{ and } \mathcal{L} = D(\ker(\psi)).$$

The map D induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}$. It is well known [35] that the toric ideal P is the lattice ideal of $\mathcal{L}_1$. Below, we show that $I(X)$ is the lattice ideal of $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map $t^a - t^b \mapsto t^{D(a)} - t^{D(b)}$ induces a bijection between the binomials $t^a - t^b$ of P whose terms t^a, t^b have disjoint support and the binomials $t^{a'} - t^{b'}$ of $I(X)$ whose terms $t^{a'}$, $t^{b'}$ have disjoint support.*

Proof. If $f = t^a - t^b$ is a binomial of P whose terms have disjoint support, then $a - b \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and the terms of $g = t^{D(a)} - t^{D(b)}$ have disjoint support because

$$\operatorname{supp}(t^a) = \operatorname{supp}(t^{D(a)}) \ \text{ and } \ \operatorname{supp}(t^b) = \operatorname{supp}(t^{D(b)}).$$

Thus, $|D(a)| = \psi(a) = \psi(b) = |D(b)|$. This means that $g = t^{D(a)} - t^{D(b)}$ is homogeneous in the standard grading of S. As $(\beta^{v_i})^{d_i} = 1$ for all i, it is seen that g vanishes at all points of X. Hence, $g \in I(X)$ and the map is well defined.

The map is clearly injective. To show that the map is onto, take a binomial $f' = t^{a'} - t^{b'}$ in $I(X)$ with $a' = (a_i')$, $b' = (b_i')$ and such that $t^{a'}$ and $t^{b'}$ have disjoint support. Then, $(\beta^{v_i})^{a_i' - b_i'} = 1$ for all i because f' vanishes at all points of X. Hence, since the order of β^{v_i} is d_i, there are integers $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ such that $a_i' - b_i' = c_i d_i$ for all i. Since f' is homogeneous, one has $|a'| = |b'|$. It follows readily that $c \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $a' - b' = D(c)$. We can write $c = c^+ - c^-$. As a' and b' have disjoint support, we get $a' = D(c^+)$ and $b' = D(c^-)$. Thus, the binomial $f = t^{c^+} - t^{c^-}$ is in P and maps to $t^{a'} - t^{b'}$. □

Proposition 3.2. $P = I(\mathcal{L}_1)$ *and* $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. As mentioned above, the first equality is well known [35]. Since $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal [28], it is generated by binomials of the form $t^{a^+}-t^{a^-}$ (this follows from Theorem 2.7). To show the second equality, take $t^{a^+}-t^{a^-}$ in $I(X)$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $a^+-a^-\in\mathcal{L}$ and $t^{a^+}-t^{a^-}$ is in $I(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, $I(X)\subset I(\mathcal{L})$. Conversely, take $f=t^{a^+}-t^{a^-}$ in $I(\mathcal{L})$ with a^+-a^- in $\mathcal{L}$. Then, there is $c\in\mathcal{L}_1$ such that $a^+-a^-=D(c^+-c^-)$. Then, $t^{c_+}-t^{c^-}$ is in P and maps, under the map of Lemma 3.1, to f. Thus, $f\in I(X)$. This proves that $I(\mathcal{L})\subset I(X)$. □

Proposition 3.3. *If* $P=(\{t^{a_i}-t^{b_i}\}_{i=1}^m)$, *then* $I(X)=(\{t^{D(a_i)}-t^{D(b_i)}\}_{i=1}^m)$.

Proof. We set $g_i=t^{a_i}-t^{b_i}$ and $h_i=t^{D(a_i)}-t^{D(b_i)}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$. Notice that h_i is equal to $g_i(t^{d_1},\ldots,t^{d_n})$, the evaluation of g_i at $(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$. By Lemma 3.1, one has the inclusion $(h_1,\ldots,h_m)\subset I(X)$. To show the reverse inclusion take a binomial $0\neq f\in I(X)$. We may assume that $f=t^{a^+}-t^{a^-}$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, there is $g=t^{c^+}-t^{c^-}$ in P such that $f=t^{D(c^+)}-t^{D(c^-)}$. By hypothesis we can write $g=\sum_{i=1}^m f_ig_i$ for some $f_1,\ldots,f_m$ in S. Then, evaluating both sides of this equality at $(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$, we get

$$f=t^{D(c^+)}-t^{D(c^-)}=g(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})=\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})g_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})=\sum_{i=1}^m f_i'h_i,$$

where $f_i'=f_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$ for all i. Then, $f\in(h_1,\ldots,h_m)$. □

Corollary 3.4. *If* $n=3$, *then* $I(X)$ *is minimally generated by at most* 3 *binomials.*

Proof. By a classical theorem of Herzog [19], P is generated by at most 3 binomials. Hence, by Proposition 3.3, $I(X)$ is generated by at most 3 binomials. □

Proposition 3.5. [3, Proposition 2.5] *Let* $\mathcal{B}=\{g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}\}$ *be a set of binomials in* P. *Then,* $P=(\mathcal{B})$ *if and only if the following two conditions hold*:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_1=\langle\widehat{g}_1,\ldots,\widehat{g}_{n-1}\rangle$, *where* $\mathcal{L}_1=\ker(\psi)$.
(b) $V(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1},t_i)=\{0\}$ *for* $i=1,\ldots,n$.

There is a description of complete intersection semigroups of $\mathbb{N}$ given in [4], see also [11] for a generalization of this description to semigroups of arbitrary dimension. Using the notion of a binary tree, a criterion for complete intersection toric ideals of affine monomial curves is given in [3]. In [1] an effective algorithm is given to determine whether P is a complete intersection. In the positive case this algorithm returns the generators of P and its Frobenius number. For $n=3$, there is a classical result of [19] showing a nice algorithm to construct a generating set for P.

Thus, in our situation, the next result allows us to: (a) use the results of [3, 4, 19] to give criteria for complete intersection vanishing ideals over a finite field, (b) use the effective algorithms of [1] to recognize complete intersection vanishing ideals over finite fields and to compute its invariants (see Example 3.16).

Theorem 3.6. $I(X)$ *is a complete intersection if and only if* P *is a complete intersection.*

Proof. ⇐) Assume that P is a complete intersection. As P and $I(X)$ have height $n-1$, by Proposition 3.3, the ideal $I(X)$ is a complete intersection.

⇒) Assume that $I(X)$ is a complete intersection. By Proposition 2.14, there are $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1}$ in $I(X)$, with $h_i=t^{a_i^+}-t^{a_i^-}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$, such that the following two conditions hold:

(i) $\mathcal{L}=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\rangle$, where $a_i=a_i^+-a_i^-$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the lattice that defines $I(X)$.

(ii) $V(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_i)=\{0\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

By (i) and Proposition 3.2, there are $b_1,\ldots,b_{n-1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_1=\ker(\psi)$ such that $a_i=D(b_i)$ for all i. Accordingly $a_i^+=D(b_i^+)$ and $a_i^-=D(b_i^-)$ for all i. We set $g_i=t^{b_i^+}-t^{b_i^-}$ for all i. Clearly, all the g_i's are in P. Next, we prove that P is generated by $g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}$. By Proposition 3.5 it suffices to show that the following two conditions hold:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_1=\langle b_1,\ldots,b_{n-1}\rangle$, where $\mathcal{L}_1=\ker(\psi)$.
(b) $V(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1},t_i)=\{0\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

First we show (a). Since $b_1,\ldots,b_{n-1}$ are in $\mathcal{L}_1$, we need only show the inclusion "$\subset$". Take $\gamma\in\ker(\psi)$, then $D(\gamma)\in\mathcal{L}$, and by (i) it follows that $\gamma\in\langle b_1,\ldots,b_{n-1}\rangle$.

Next we show (b). For simplicity of notation, we may assume that $i=n$. Take c in the variety $V(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1},t_n)$ and write $c=(c_1,\ldots,c_n)$. Then, $c_n=0$ and $g_i(c)=c^{b_i^+}-c^{b_i^-}=0$ for all i, were $c^{b_i^+}$ means to evaluate the monomial $t^{b_i^+}$ at the point c. Let i be a fixed but arbitrary integer in $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. We can write

$$b_i=b_i^+-b_i^-=(b_{i1}^+,\ldots,b_{in}^+)-(b_{i1}^-,\ldots,b_{in}^-)$$

and $a_i=a_i^+-a_i^-=(a_{i1}^+,\ldots,a_{in}^+)-(a_{i1}^-,\ldots,a_{in}^-)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n}) &= (c_1^{v_1})^{a_{i1}^+}\cdots(c_n^{v_n})^{a_{in}^+}-(c_1^{v_1})^{a_{i1}^-}\cdots(c_n^{v_n})^{a_{in}^-}\\ &= c_1^{v_1d_1b_{i1}^+}\cdots c_n^{v_nd_nb_{in}^+}-c_1^{v_1d_1b_{i1}^-}\cdots c_n^{v_nd_nb_{in}^-}. \end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

We claim that $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$. To show this we consider two cases.

Case (I): $b_{in}^+>0$. Then, as $g_i(c)=c^{b_i^+}-c^{b_i^-}=0$ and $c^{b_i^+}$=0, one has $c^{b_i^-}=0$. Hence, there is j such that $b_{ij}^->0$ and $c_j=0$. Thus, by Eq. (3.1), $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$.

Case (II): $b_{in}^+=0$. If $c_j=0$ for some $b_{ij}^+>0$, then $c^{b_i^-}=0$ because $g_i(c)=0$. Hence, there is k such that $c_k=0$ and $b_{ik}^->0$. Thus, by Eq. (3.1), $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$. Similarly, if $c_j=0$ for some $b_{ij}^->0$, then $c^{b_i^+}=0$ because $g_i(c)=0$. Hence, there is k such that $c_k=0$ and $b_{ik}^+>0$. Thus, by Eq. (3.1), $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$. We may now assume that $c_j\neq 0$ if $b_{ij}^+>0$, and $c_m\neq 0$ if $b_{im}^->0$. Let β be a generator of the cyclic group $(\mathbb{F}^*,\cdot)$. Any $c_j\neq 0$ has the form $c_j=\beta^{j_\ell}$. Thus, using that $(\beta^{v_j})^{d_j}=1$, we get that $(c_j^{v_j})^{d_jb_{ij}^+}=1$ if $b_{ij}^+>0$ and $(c_j^{v_j})^{d_jb_{ij}^-}=1$ if $b_{ij}^->0$. Hence, by Eq. (3.1), $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$, as required. This completes the proof of the claim.

As $h_i(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})=0$ for all i, the point $c'=(c_1^{v_1},\ldots,c_n^{v_n})$ is in $V(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_n)$. By (ii), the point c' es zero. Hence, $c=0$ as required. This completes the proof of (b). □

Corollary 3.7. (a) *If $I(X)$ is a complete intersection generated by binomials $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1}$, then P is a complete intersection generated by binomials $g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}$ such that h_i is equal to $g_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$ for all i.* (b) *If P is a complete intersection generated by binomials $g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}$, then $I(X)$ is a complete intersection generated by binomials $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1}$, where h_i is equal to $g_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$ for all i.*

Proof. (a) Since t_k is a non-zero divisor of $S/I(X)$ for all k, it is not hard to see that the monomials of h_i have disjoint support for all i. i.e., we can write $h_i=t^{a_i^+}-t^{a_i^-}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$. Hence, by the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.6, it suffices to show that the following two conditions hold

(i) $\mathcal{L}=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\rangle$, where $a_i=a_i^+-a_i^-$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the lattice that defines $I(X)$.

(ii) $V(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_i)=\{0\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

As $I(X)$ is generated by $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1}$, by Lemma 2.8, condition (i) holds. The binomial $t_i^{q-1}-t_n^{q-1}$ is in $I(X)$ for all i because $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a group of order $q-1$. Thus, $V(I(X),t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i. From the equality $(I(X),t_i)=(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_i)$, we get

$$\{0\}=V(I(X),t_i)=V(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_i).$$

Thus, $V(h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1},t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i, i.e., (ii) holds. (b) It follows from Proposition 3.3. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *Let F be a field. Given a sequence of positive integers $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n$, there are positive integers $v_1,\ldots,v_n$ and a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that the toric ideal of $F[x_1^{\omega_1},\ldots,x_1^{\omega_n}]$ is a complete intersection if and only if the vanishing ideal of the degenerate torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ parameterized by $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_n^{v_n}$ is a complete intersection.*

Proof. We set $k=\omega_1\cdots\omega_n$. Pick a prime number p relatively prime to k. Then, by Euler formula $p^{\varphi(k)}\equiv 1 \mod (k)$, where $\varphi(k)$ is the Euler function. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with $q=p^{\varphi(k)}$ elements and let β be a generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Since ω_i divides $q-1$ for all i, there are positive integers $v_1,\ldots,v_n$ such that $o(\beta^{v_i})=\omega_i$ for all i. Let X be the degenerate torus parameterized by $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_n^{v_n}$. Since the complete intersection property of $F[x_1^{\omega_1},\ldots,x_1^{\omega_n}]$ does not depends on the field F [26, Theorem 3.9], the result follows from Theorem 3.6. $\square$

By the next lemma we can always choose q to be a prime number.

Lemma 3.9. *Given positive integers $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n$, there is a prime number p such that ω_i divides $p-1$ for all i.*

Proof. We set $m=\omega_1\cdots\omega_n$ and $a=1$. As a and m are relatively prime positive integers, by a classical theorem of Dirichlet [32, p. 25, p .61], there exist infinitely many primes p such that $p\equiv a \mod (m)$. Thus, we can write $p-1=km$ for some integer k. This proves that ω_i divides $p-1$ for all i. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *There is a degenerate projective torus X, over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, parameterized by $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_4^{v_4}$ such that $I(X)$ is not a complete intersection.*

Proof. Let $m\geq 4$ be an integer. Consider the sequence $d_1,\ldots,d_4$ given by

$$(d_1,d_2,d_3,d_4)=(m^2,2m^2-1,3m^2+m,4m^2+m-1).$$

By Lemma 3.9, there is a prime number q such that d_i divides $q-1$ for $i=1,\ldots,4$. We set $v_i=q-1/d_i$ for all i. According to [8], $\nu(P)\geq m\geq 4$, where $\nu(P)$ is the minimum number of generators of P. Thus, P is not a complete intersection because the height of P is 3. Hence, by Theorem 3.6, $I(X)$ is not a complete intersection. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *If $r=\gcd(d_1,\ldots,d_n)$ and $d_i'=o(\beta^{rv_i})$, then $d_i=rd_i'$ and $\gcd(d_1',\ldots,d_n')=1$.*

Proof. It follows readily by recalling that $o(\beta^{rv_i})=o(\beta^{v_i})/\gcd(r,o(\beta^{v_i}))$. $\square$

In what follows X' will denote the degenerate torus in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ parameterized by $x_1^{v_1'},\ldots,x_n^{v_n'}$, where $v_i'=rv_i$ and $r=\gcd(d_1,\ldots,d_n)$. Below, we will relate the algebraic invariants and some of the properties of $I(X)$ and $I(X')$.

Proposition 3.12. *The vanishing ideal $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if $I(X')$ is a complete intersection.*

Proof. Let P and P' be the toric ideals of $K[y_1^{d_1},\ldots,y_1^{d_n}]$ and $K[y_1^{d_1'},\ldots,y_1^{d_n'}]$, respectively, where $d_i'=o(\beta^{rv_i})$ for all i. It is not hard to see that $P=P'$. Then, by Corollary 3.7, P is a complete intersection if and only if $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if P' is a complete intersection if and only if $I(X')$ is a complete intersection. $\square$

Definition 3.13. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a numerical semigroup of $\mathbb{N}$, the *Frobenius number* of $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by $g(S)$, is the largest integer not in S.

Consider the semigroup $\mathcal{S}'=\mathbb{N}d_1'+\cdots+\mathbb{N}d_n'$, where $d_i'=o(\beta^{rv_i})$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$. By Lemma 3.11, one has $\gcd(d_1',\ldots,d_n')=1$, i.e., $\mathcal{S}'$ is a numerical semigroup. Thus, $g(\mathcal{S}')$ is finite. If the toric ideal of $K[\mathcal{S}']$ is a complete intersection, then $g(\mathcal{S}')$ can be expressed entirely in terms of $d_1',\ldots,d_n'$ [3, Remark 4.5].

Corollary 3.14. *If $I(X)$ is a complete intersection, then*

(i) $\deg(S/I(X))=r^{n-1}\deg(S/I(X'))$ *and* $\operatorname{reg}S/I(X)=r(\operatorname{reg}S/I(X'))+(n-1)(r-1)$.

(ii) $\deg(S/I(X))=d_1\cdots d_n/r$ *and* $\operatorname{reg}S/I(X)=r\,g(\mathcal{S}')+\sum_{i=1}^n d_i-(n-1)$.

Proof. (i): With the notation above, by Lemma 3.11, we get that $d_i=rd_i'$ for all i. The toric ideals P and P' are equal but they are graded differently. Recall that P and P' are graded with respect to the gradings induced by assigning $\deg(t_i)=d_i$ and $\deg(t_i)=d_i'$ for all i, respectively. Let $g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}$ be a generating set of $P=P'$ consisting of binomials. Then, by Corollary 3.7, $I(X)$ is generated by $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-1}$, where h_i is $g_i(t_1^{d_1},\ldots,t_n^{d_n})$ for all i. Accordingly, $I(X')$ is generated by $h_1',\ldots,h_{n-1}'$, where h_i' is $g_i(t_1^{d_1'},\ldots,t_n^{d_n'})$ for all i. If $D_i=\deg(h_i)$ and $D_i'=\deg(h_i')$, then $D_i=rD_i'$ for all i. Since $I(X)$ and $I(X')$ are complete intersections, by Lemma 2.12 and Corollary 2.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\deg S/I(X)&=D_1\cdots D_{n-1}=r^{n-1}D_1'\cdots D_{n-1}'=r^{n-1}\deg S/I(X'),\\ \operatorname{reg}S/I(X)&=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(D_i-1)=r\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}D_i'-(n-1)=r(\operatorname{reg}S/I(X')+n-1)-(n-1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the required formulae follow. (ii): By Lemma 2.5 and part (i), we get

$$|X|=\deg(S/I(X))=r^{n-1}\deg(S/I(X'))=r^{n-1}|X'|=r^{n-1}|(X')^*|=r^{n-1}d_1'\cdots d_n'.$$

As $d_i'=d_i/r$ for all i, we obtain $|X|=d_1\cdots d_n/r$. Next, we show the formula for the regularity. With the notation above, using [3, Remark 4.5], we get

$$g(\mathcal{S}')=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}D_i'-\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_i'=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(D_i/r)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(d_i/r).$$

Using the equality $\operatorname{reg}S/I(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(D_i-1)$, the formula for the regularity follows. $\square$

Example 3.15. If $v_i=v_1$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$. Then, $d_i=(q-1)/\gcd(v_1,q-1)$, $d_i'=1$ for all i, $r=d_1$, $\mathcal{S}'=\mathbb{N}$, and $g(\mathcal{S}')=-1$. In this case $X'=\{[(1,\ldots,1)]\}$,

$$P=(t_1-t_n,\ldots,t_{n-1}-t_n),\ I(X)=(t_1^{d_1}-t_n^{d_1},\ldots,t_{n-1}^{d_1}-t_n^{d_1}),$$

$\operatorname{reg}S/I(X)=(d_1-1)(n-1)$, $\operatorname{reg}S/I(X')=0$, $|X|=d_1^{n-1}$ and $|X'|=1$.

Example 3.16. To illustrate how to use the algorithm of [1] we consider the degenerate torus X, over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$, parameterized by $x_1^{v_1},\ldots,x_5^{v_5}$, where $v_1 = 1500$, $v_2 = 1000$, $v_3 = 432$, $v_4 = 360$, $v_5 = 240$, and $q = 54001$. In this case, one has

$$d_1 = 36,\ d_2 = 54,\ d_3 = 125,\ d_4 = 150,\ d_5 = 225.$$

Using [1, Algorithm CI, p. 981], we get that P is a complete intersection generated by the binomials

$$g_1 = t_1^3 - t_2^2,\ g_2 = t_4^3 - t_5^2,\ g_3 = t_3^3 - t_4t_5,\ g_4 = t_1^8t_2^3 - t_4^3,$$

and we also get that the Frobenius number of $\mathcal{S}$ is 793. Hence, by our results, the vanishing ideal $I(X)$ is a complete intersection generated by the binomials

$$h_1 = t_1^{108} - t_2^{108},\ h_2 = t_4^{450} - t_5^{450},\ h_3 = t_3^{375} - t_4^{150}t_5^{225},\ h_4 = t_1^{288}t_2^{162} - t_4^{450},$$

the index of regularity and degree of $S/I(X)$ are 1379 and 8201250000, respectively.

The next example is interesting because if you choose $v_1,\ldots,v_n$ at random, it is likely that $I(X)$ will be generated by binomials of the form $t_i^m - t_j^m$.

Example 3.17. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the field with $q = 211$ elements. Consider the sequence $v_1 = 42$, $v_2 = 35$, $v_3 = 30$. In this case, one has $d_1 = 5$, $d_2 = 6$, $d_3 = 7$. By a well known result of Herzog [19], one has

$$P = (t_2^2 - t_1t_3,\, t_1^4 - t_2t_3^2,\, t_1^3t_2 - t_3^3).$$

Hence, by our results, $I(X) = (t_2^{12}-t_1^5t_3^7,\, t_1^{20}-t_2^6t_3^{14},\, t_1^{15}t_2^6-t_3^{21})$ and this ideal is not a complete intersection. The index of regularity and the degree of $S/I(X)$ are 25 and 210, respectively. The Frobenius number of $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to 9. Notice that the toric relations $t_1^{30} - t_2^{30}$, $t_1^{35} - t_3^{35}$, $t_2^{42} - t_3^{42}$ do not generate $I(X)$.

The next example was found using Corollary 3.7, without using this corollary it is very difficult to construct examples of complete intersection vanishing ideals not generated by binomials of the form $t_i^m - t_j^m$.

Example 3.18. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the field with $q = 271$ elements. Consider the sequence $v_1 = 30$, $v_2 = 135$, $v_3 = 54$. In this case, one has $d_1 = 9$, $d_2 = 2$, $d_3 = 5$. The ideals P and $I(X)$ are complete intersections given by

$$P = (t_1 - t_2^2t_3,\, t_2^5 - t_3^2) \text{ and } I(X) = (t_1^9 - t_2^4t_3^5,\, t_2^{10} - t_3^{10}).$$

By Corollary 2.13, the index of regularity of $S/I(X)$ is 17 and by Corollary 3.14 the Frobenius number of $\mathcal{S}$ is 4.

4. Computing the vanishing ideal

In this section we show how to compute the vanishing ideal using the notion of saturation of an ideal with respect to a polynomial.

The next lemma is easy to show.

Lemma 4.1. *If* $c_{ij} = \operatorname{lcm}\{d_i, d_j\} = \operatorname{lcm}\{o(\beta^{v_i}), o(\beta^{v_j})\}$, *then* $t_i^{c_{ij}} - t_j^{c_{ij}} \in I(X)$.

In general the set of *toric relations* $\mathcal{T} = \{t_i^{c_{ij}} - t_j^{c_{ij}} : 1 \leq i,j \leq n\}$ do not generate $I(X)$, as is seen in Example 3.17. If $v_i = 1$ for all i, then $c_{ij} = q-1$ for all i,j and in this case $I(X)$ is generated by $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 4.2. [22] *If* a, a_i, b, b_i *are in* $\mathbb{N}^n$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, m$ *and* $a - b$ *is in the subgroup of* $\mathbb{Z}^n$ *generated by* $a_1 - b_1, \ldots, a_m - b_m$*, then there is* $t^\delta \in S$ *such that*

$$t^\delta(t^a - t^b) \in (t^{a_1} - t^{b_1}, \ldots, t^{a_m} - t^{b_m}).$$

For an ideal $I \subset S$ and a polynomial $h \in S$ the *saturation* of I with respect to h is the ideal

$$(I \colon h^\infty) := \{f \in S \,|\, fh^k \in I \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

We will only deal with the case where $h = t_1 \cdots t_n$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* I' *be the ideal* $(t_i^{c_{ij}} - t_j^{c_{ij}} \,|\, 1 < i < j \leq n)$*, where* $c_{ij} = \operatorname{lcm}\{d_i, d_j\}$.

(a) *If* $\gcd(d_1, \ldots, d_n) = 1$*, then* $I(X) = (I' \colon (t_1 \cdots t_n)^\infty)$.

(b) *If* $\gcd(d_1, \ldots, d_n) = 1$ *and* I' *is Cohen-Macaulay, then* $I' = I(X)$.

Proof. (a) We claim that $\mathcal{L} = \langle c_{ij}e_i - c_{ij}e_j \,|\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\rangle$. By [35, Proposition 10.1.8], we get

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle (d_j/\gcd(d_i, d_j))e_i - (d_i/\gcd(d_i, d_j))e_j \,|\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\rangle.$$

Thus, the claim follows from the equality $\mathcal{L} = D(\mathcal{L}_1)$. The inclusion "$\supset$" follows readily using Theorem 2.7 because $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal containing I' (see Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 4.1). To show the inclusion "$\subset$", take a binomial $f = t^a - t^b \in I(X)$. By Proposition 3.2, $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, $a - b \in \mathcal{L}$. Using the previous claim and Lemma 4.2, there is $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $t^\delta f \in I'$. Hence, $f \in (I' \colon (t_1 \cdots t_n)^\infty)$.

(b) Assume that I' is Cohen-Macaulay. By part (a), we need only show that t_i is not a zero divisor de S/I' for all i. If t_i is a zero divisor of S/I', then there is an associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of S/I' such that $(I', t_i) \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction because all associated prime ideals of S/I' have height equal to $\operatorname{ht}(I')$ and $\operatorname{ht}(I') < n$. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let* A *be the matrix whose rows are all* $c_{ij}e_i - c_{ij}e_j$ *such that* $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ *and let* $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}$ *be the invariants factors of* A*. If* $\gcd(d_1, \ldots, d_n) = 1$*, then*

(a) $\deg(S/I(X)) = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_{n-1}$*, and*

(b) *the torsion subgroup* $T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathcal{L})$ *has cardinality* $d_1 \cdots d_n$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L} = \langle c_{ij}e_i - c_{ij}e_j \,|\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\rangle$, by the fundamental structure theorem for finitely generated abelian groups [20, pp. 187-188], we get

$$\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(\rho_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\rho_{n-1}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(\rho_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\rho_{n-1}).$$

Thus, $|T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathcal{L})| = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_{n-1}$. On the other hand, by [23, Corollary 3.13] and Lemma 2.5, one has $\deg(S/I(X)) = |T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathcal{L})|$ and $|X| = d_1 \cdots d_n$, respectively. Therefore, (a) and (b) follow by recalling that $|X|$ is the degree of $S/I(X)$. $\square$

Example 4.5. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the field with $q = 2521$ elements. Consider the sequence $v_1 = 504$, $v_2 = 420$, $v_3 = 360$, $v_4 = 315$. In this case, one has $d_1 = 5$, $d_2 = 6$, $d_3 = 7$, $d_4 = 8$. Let A be the matrix whose rows are all $c_{ij}e_i - c_{ij}e_j$ such that $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ and let B be the Smith normal form of A:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 30 & -30 & 0 & 0 \\ 35 & 0 & -35 & 0 \\ 40 & 0 & 0 & -40 \\ 0 & 42 & -42 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & 0 & -24 \\ 0 & 0 & 56 & -56 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 840 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The invariant factors of A are $\rho_1 = 1$, $\rho_2 = 2$, $\rho_3 = 840$. By Corollary 4.4, the degree of $S/I(X)$ is 1680. Using *Macaulay*2 [15], we get

$$P = (t_3^2 - t_2t_4,\ t_2t_3 - t_1t_4,\ t_2^2 - t_1t_3,\ t_1^2t_2 - t_4^2,\ t_1^3 - t_3t_4) \ \Rightarrow$$
$$I(X) = (t_3^{14} - t_2^6t_4^8,\ t_2^6t_3^7 - t_1^5t_4^8,\ t_2^{12} - t_1^5t_3^7,\ t_1^{10}t_2^6 - t_4^{16},\ t_1^{15} - t_3^7t_4^8).$$

The index of regularity of $S/I(X)$ is 32.

References

[1] I. Bermejo, I. García-Marco and J. J. Salazar-González, An algorithm for checking whether the toric ideal of an affine monomial curve is a complete intersection, J. Symbolic Comput. **(42)** (2007), 971-991. 2, 7, 11

[2] I. Bermejo, I. García-Marco and J. J. Salazar-González, cimonom.lib, A SINGULAR 3.0.3 library for determining whether the toric ideal of an affine monomial curve is a complete intersection, 2007. 2

[3] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, E. Reyes, and R. H. Villarreal, Complete intersections in affine monomial curves, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **11** (2005), 191–203. 2, 7, 10

[4] C. Delorme, Sous-monoides d'intersection complète de ℕ, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **9** (1976), 145–154. 2, 7

[5] I. M. Duursma, C. Rentería and H. Tapia-Recillas, Reed-Muller codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **11** (2001), no. 6, 455–462. 3

[6] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies: A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **229**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. 3

[7] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 1–45. 3, 4, 5

[8] S. Eliahou, *Courbes monomiales et algèbre de Rees symbolique*, PhD thesis, Université de Genève, 1983. 9

[9] S. Eliahou, Idéaux de définition des courbes monomiales, in *Complete Intersections* (S. Greco and R. Strano, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1092**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1984, pp. 229–240. 2

[10] S. Eliahou and R. H. Villarreal, On systems of binomials in the ideal of a toric variety, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 345–351. 2

[11] K. Fischer, W. Morris and J. Shapiro, Affine semigroup rings that are complete intersections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3137–3145. 7

[12] A. V. Geramita, M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, Cayley-Bacharach schemes and their canonical modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 1, 163–189. 5

[13] L. Gold, J. Little and H. Schenck, Cayley-Bacharach and evaluation codes on complete intersections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **196** (2005), no. 1, 91–99. 3

[14] M. González-Sarabia, C. Rentería and M. Hernández de la Torre, Minimum distance and second generalized Hamming weight of two particular linear codes, Congr. Numer. **161** (2003), 105–116. 4

[15] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay*2, 1996. Available via anonymous ftp from `math.uiuc.edu`. 13

[16] G. M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schoenemann, *Singular*, A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, 2007. Available at: `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de`. 2

[17] J. Hansen, Linkage and codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **14** (2003), no. 3, 175–185. 3

[18] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry. A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **133**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. 3, 5

[19] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175–193. 2, 7, 11

[20] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, Second Edition, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1996. 12

[21] H. H. López, C. Rentería and R. H. Villarreal, Affine cartesian codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr., to appear. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-012-9714-2. 3

[22] H. H. López and R. H. Villarreal, Complete intersections in binomial and lattice ideals. Preprint, 2012, `arXiv:1205.0772`. 5, 6, 12

[23] H. H. López and R. H. Villarreal, Computing the degree of a lattice ideal of dimension one. Preprint, 2012, `arXiv:1206.1892`. 2, 12

[24] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **227**, Springer, 2004. 3, 5

[25] T. T. Moh, Set-theoretic complete intersections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 217–220. 2

[26] M. Morales and A. Thoma, Complete intersection lattice ideals, J. Algebra **284** (2005), 755–770. 9

[27] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **30**, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005. 2

[28] C. Rentería, A. Simis and R. H. Villarreal, Algebraic methods for parameterized codes and invariants of vanishing ideals over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), no. 1, 81-104. 2, 3, 5, 7

[29] E. Sarmiento, M. Vaz Pinto and R. H. Villarreal, The minimum distance of parameterized codes on projective tori, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **22** (2011), no. 4, 249–264. 3

[30] E. Sarmiento, M. Vaz Pinto and R. H. Villarreal, On the vanishing ideal of an algebraic toric set and its parameterized linear codes, J. Algebra Appl., to appear. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498812500727. 3

[31] R. Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras, Adv. Math. **28** (1978), 57–83. 3

[32] J. P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **7**, Springer-Verlag, fifth printing, 1996. 9

[33] A. Thoma, On the set-theoretic complete intersection problem for monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^n$, J. Pure Applied Algebra **104** (1995), 333-344. 2

[34] M. Tsfasman, S. Vladut and D. Nogin, *Algebraic geometric codes: basic notions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **139**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. 3

[35] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **238**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001. 3, 5, 6, 7, 12

Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apartado Postal 14–740, 07000 Mexico City, D.F.

E-mail address: `hlopez@math.cinvestav.mx`

Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apartado Postal 14–740, 07000 Mexico City, D.F.

E-mail address: `vila@math.cinvestav.mx`

CEFyMAP, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, 4a. Oriente Norte No. 1428. Entre 13a. y 14a. Norte, Col. Barrio La Pimienta, 29000 Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México,

E-mail address: `leticia@math.cinvestav.mx`